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relative prices

$$
x_{i}^{(j)}=\frac{S_{i}^{(j)}}{S_{i-1}^{(j)}}
$$

$\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left(x_{i}^{(1)}, \ldots x_{i}^{(d)}\right)$ the return vector on trading period $i$
multi-period investment
$x_{i}^{(j)}$ is the factor by which capital invested in stock $j$ grows during the market period $i$
Constantly Re-balanced Portfolio (CRP)
a portfolio vector $\mathbf{b}=\left(b^{(1)}, \ldots b^{(d)}\right)$
$b^{(j)}$ gives the proportion of the investor's capital invested in stock $j$
$\mathbf{b}$ is the portfolio vector for each trading day
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for the $n$th trading period:

$$
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If $S_{n}^{*}=S_{n}\left(\mathbf{b}^{*}\right)$ denotes the capital after trading period $n$ achieved by a log-optimum portfolio strategy $\mathbf{b}^{*}$,
then for any portfolio strategy $\mathbf{b}$ with capital $S_{n}=S_{n}(\mathbf{b})$ and for any i.i.d. process $\left\{\mathbf{X}_{n}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln S_{n} \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln S_{n}^{*} \quad \text { almost surely }
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln S_{n}^{*}=W^{*} \quad \text { almost surely }
$$

where

$$
W^{*}=\mathbf{E}\left\{\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}^{*}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

is the maximal growth rate of any portfolio.
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## History

gambling, horse racing, information theory
Kelly (1956)
Latané (1959)
Breiman (1961)
Finkelstein and Whitley (1981)
Barron and Cover (1988)
Chapter 15 of D. G. Luenberger, Investment Science. Oxford University Press, 1998.
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## Example 1: 1 stock + cash

$d=2, \quad \mathbf{X}=\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}\right)$
Stock:

$$
\begin{gathered}
X^{(1)}= \begin{cases}2 & \text { with probability } 1 / 2, \\
1 / 2 & \text { with probability } 1 / 2\end{cases} \\
\mathbf{E}\left\{X^{(1)}\right\}=1 / 2 \cdot(2+1 / 2)=5 / 4>1
\end{gathered}, \begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}\left\{S_{n}^{(1)}\right\}=\mathbf{E}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{(1)}\right\}=(5 / 4)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

What about $S_{n}^{(1)}$ or $W^{(1)}$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{(1)}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln S_{n}^{(1)} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln X_{i}^{(1)}=\mathbf{E}\left\{\ln X^{(1)}\right\} \\
& =1 / 2 \ln 2+1 / 2 \ln (1 / 2)=0
\end{aligned}
$$
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Cash:

$$
X^{(2)}=1
$$

zero growth rate portfolio

$$
\mathbf{b}=(b, 1-b)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\{\ln \langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}\rangle\} & =1 / 2(\ln (2 b+(1-b))+\ln (b / 2+(1-b)) \\
& =1 / 2 \ln [(1+b)(1-b / 2)]
\end{aligned}
$$

log-optimal portfolio

$$
\mathbf{b}^{*}=(1 / 2,1 / 2)
$$

asymptotic average growth rate

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}^{*}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle\right\}=1 / 2 \ln (9 / 8)=0.059=W^{*}
$$

positive growth rate
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## Example 2: 2 stocks + cash

$d=3, \quad \mathbf{X}=\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, X^{(3)}\right)$
Stocks:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{(1)}= \begin{cases}2 & \text { with probability } 1 / 2, \\
1 / 2 & \text { with probability } 1 / 2\end{cases} \\
& X^{(2)}= \begin{cases}2 & \text { with probability } 1 / 2, \\
1 / 2 & \text { with probability } 1 / 2 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Cash:

$$
x^{(3)}=1
$$

log-optimal portfolio

$$
\mathbf{b}^{*}=(0.46,0.46,0.08)
$$

asymptotic average growth rate

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}^{*}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle\right\}=0.112=W^{*}
$$
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## Example 3: 3 stocks + cash

$d=4, \quad \mathbf{X}=\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, X^{(3)}, X^{(4)}\right)$
log-optimal portfolio

$$
\mathbf{b}^{*}=(1 / 3,1 / 3,1 / 3,0)
$$

the cash has zero weight asymptotic average growth rate

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}^{*}, \mathbf{X}\right\rangle\right\}=0.152=W^{*}
$$
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$d$ horses in a race horse $j$ wins with probability $p_{j}$ payoff $o_{j}$ : investing $1 \$$ on horse $j$ results in $o_{j}$ if it wins, otherwise $0 \$$

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(0, \ldots, 0, o_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

if horse $j$ wins repeated races

$$
\mathbf{E}\{\ln \langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}\rangle\}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln \left(b^{(j)} o_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln b^{(j)}+\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln o_{j}
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\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{E}\{\ln \langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}\rangle\}=\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln b^{(j)}
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## $\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln b^{(j)}$
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K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b})=\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln \frac{p_{j}}{b^{(j)}}
$$

basic property:

$$
K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b}) \geq 0
$$

Proof:

$$
K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b})=-\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln \frac{b^{(j)}}{p_{j}}
$$
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\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln b^{(j)}
$$

Kullback-Leibler divergence:

$$
K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b})=\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln \frac{p_{j}}{b^{(j)}}
$$

basic property:

$$
K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b}) \geq 0
$$

Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K L(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{b})=-\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln \frac{b^{(j)}}{p_{j}} & \geq-\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j}\left(\frac{b^{(j)}}{p_{j}}-1\right) \\
& =-\sum_{j=1}^{d} b^{(j)}+\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j}=0
\end{aligned}
$$
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\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln b^{(j)}=\mathbf{p}
$$
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$$

independent of the payoffs
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W^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln \left(p_{j} o_{j}\right)
$$

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln b^{(j)}=\mathbf{p}
$$

independent of the payoffs

$$
W^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln \left(p_{j} o_{j}\right)
$$

usual choice of payoffs:

$$
o_{j}=\frac{1}{p_{j}}
$$

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln b^{(j)}=\mathbf{p}
$$

independent of the payoffs

$$
W^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{j} \ln \left(p_{j} o_{j}\right)
$$

usual choice of payoffs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& o_{j}=\frac{1}{p_{j}} \\
& W^{*}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

any gambling strategy has negative growth rate
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\mathbf{E}\left\{S_{n}(\mathbf{b})\right\}=\mathbf{E}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{i}\right\rangle\right\}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{X}_{i}\right\}\right\rangle=e^{n \ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}\right\}\right\rangle}
$$

by Jensen inequality

$$
\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}\right\}\right\rangle>\mathbf{E}\left\{\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right\}
$$
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\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}\right\}\right\rangle>\mathbf{E}\left\{\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right\}
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therefore

$$
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## Naive approach

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{E}\left\{S_{n}(\mathbf{b})\right\}
$$

because of

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left\{S_{n}(\mathbf{b})\right\} & =\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}\right\}\right\rangle^{n} \\
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{E}\left\{S_{n}(\mathbf{b})\right\} & =\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max }\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}\right\}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

$\arg \max _{\mathbf{b}}\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}\right\}\right\rangle$ is a portfolio vector having 1 at the position, where $\mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}\right\}$ has the largest component
it is a dangerous portfolio
Markowitz:

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}: \operatorname{Var}\left(\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right) \leq \lambda}{\arg \max }\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{X}_{1}\right\}\right\rangle
$$
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log-optimal:
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```


## Semi-log-optimal portfolio

log-optimal:

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{E}\left\{\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

Taylor expansion: $\ln z \approx h(z)=z-1-\frac{1}{2}(z-1)^{2}$ semi-log-optimal:

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{E}\left\{h\left(\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right)\right\}=\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max }\{\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m}\rangle-\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{C b}\rangle\}
$$

## Semi-log-optimal portfolio

log-optimal:

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{E}\left\{\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

Taylor expansion: $\ln z \approx h(z)=z-1-\frac{1}{2}(z-1)^{2}$ semi-log-optimal:

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{E}\left\{h\left(\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right)\right\}=\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max }\{\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m}\rangle-\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{C b}\rangle\}
$$

Connection to the Markowitz theory.

## Semi-log-optimal portfolio

log-optimal:

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{E}\left\{\ln \left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right\}
$$

Taylor expansion: $\ln z \approx h(z)=z-1-\frac{1}{2}(z-1)^{2}$ semi-log-optimal:

$$
\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max } \mathbf{E}\left\{h\left(\left\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X}_{1}\right\rangle\right)\right\}=\underset{\mathbf{b}}{\arg \max }\{\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{m}\rangle-\langle\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{C b}\rangle\}
$$

Connection to the Markowitz theory.
Gy. Ottucsák and I. Vajda, "An Asymptotic Analysis of the Mean-Variance portfolio selection", Statistics and Decisions, 25, pp. 63-88, 2007.
http://www.szit.bme.hu/~oti/portfolio/articles/marko.pdf

