Large complex correlated Wishart matrices: Fluctuations and asymptotic independence at the edges

Joint work with W. Hachem and J. Najim.

Adrien Hardy

Royal Institute of Technology KTH, Stockholm

Random matrices and their application, Hong Kong, January 7, 2015

Introduction and statement of the results

One precisions

Beyond universality

э

1) The matrix model

▲御▶ ▲理▶ ▲理≯

æ

Complex correlated Wishart matrix:

$$\mathbf{M}_N = rac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}_N \mathbf{\Sigma}_N \mathbf{X}_N^*$$

where

 X_N is an $N \times n$ matrix with independent $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)$ entries Σ_N is an $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix

- - E - - E

Complex correlated Wishart matrix:

$$\mathbf{M}_N = rac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}_N \mathbf{\Sigma}_N \mathbf{X}_N^*$$

where

 X_N is an $N \times n$ matrix with independent $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)$ entries Σ_N is an $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix

Let $x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_N$ be the eigenvalues of \mathbf{M}_N (main characters),

Complex correlated Wishart matrix:

$$\mathbf{M}_N = rac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}_N \mathbf{\Sigma}_N \mathbf{X}_N^*$$

where

 X_N is an $N \times n$ matrix with independent $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0,1)$ entries Σ_N is an $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix

Let $x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_N$ be the eigenvalues of \mathbf{M}_N (main characters), and $\lambda_1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{\Sigma}_N$ (parameters).

1) Global behavior

Asymptotic regime:

$$N, n o \infty$$
, $\frac{n}{N} o \gamma \in (0, \infty)$,

$$u_{N} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{j}} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{*} \nu \quad \text{with compact support.}$$

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

э

Asymptotic regime:

$$N, n o \infty, \qquad rac{n}{N} o \gamma \in (0, \infty),$$

$$u_N := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_j} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{*} \nu \quad \text{with compact support.}$$

Global behavior (Marčenko-Pastur,67):

There exists $\mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ only depending on ν,γ such that

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{x_j} \xrightarrow[N\to\infty]{*} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)} \quad a.s.$$

[The Stieltjes transform of $\mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ satisfies a fixed-point equation]

Remark (to keep in mind for later):

At fixed finite N,

a good approximation for the distribution of x_1, \ldots, x_N

is the deterministic equivalent $\mu_{(\nu_N, \frac{n}{N})}$.

1) Global behavior

Examples: $\nu = \frac{1}{3}(\delta_1 + \delta_3 + \delta_7)$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{10}$



Large Covariance Matrices - Limiting Density (LSD)

э

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Question: Fluctuations of the extremal eigenvalues at each edge ?

More precisely,

- Can we identify the extremal eigenvalues ?
- Law of the fluctuations ?
- Given several extremal eigenvalues, asymptotic independence of the fluctuations ?

Example: The non-correlated case $\Sigma_N = I_N \implies \nu = \delta_1$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

Example: The non-correlated case $\Sigma_N = I_N \implies \nu = \delta_1$

• Limiting support (ignoring the Dirac mass at zero):

Supp
$$\mu_{(\delta_1,\gamma)} = [\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}], \qquad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{a} &= (1-\sqrt{\gamma})^2\\ \mathfrak{b} &= (1+\sqrt{\gamma})^2 \end{cases}$$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Example: The non-correlated case $\Sigma_N = I_N \implies \nu = \delta_1$

• Limiting support (ignoring the Dirac mass at zero):

Supp
$$\mu_{(\delta_1,\gamma)} = [\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}], \qquad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{a} = (1-\sqrt{\gamma})^2\\ \mathfrak{b} = (1+\sqrt{\gamma})^2 \end{cases}$$

• (Geman, 80/Bai-Yin,93)

$$x_{\min} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathfrak{a}, \qquad \qquad x_{\max} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathfrak{b}$$

Fluctuations:

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

æ

Fluctuations:

Consider the support of the deterministic equivalent

Supp
$$\mu_{(\delta_1, \frac{n}{N})} = [\mathfrak{a}_N, \mathfrak{b}_N], \qquad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{a}_N = (1 - \sqrt{\frac{n}{N}})^2 \\ \mathfrak{b}_N = (1 + \sqrt{\frac{n}{N}})^2 \end{cases}.$$

• • = • • = •

Fluctuations:

Consider the support of the deterministic equivalent

Supp
$$\mu_{(\delta_1, \frac{n}{N})} = [\mathfrak{a}_N, \mathfrak{b}_N], \qquad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{a}_N = (1 - \sqrt{\frac{n}{N}})^2 \\ \mathfrak{b}_N = (1 + \sqrt{\frac{n}{N}})^2 \end{cases}$$

Then, for some bounded sequence (σ_N) [varying from line to line],
(Johansson,00),

$$N^{2/3}\sigma_N(\mathbf{x}_{\max} - \mathfrak{b}_N) \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{L}}$$
 Tracy-Widom

Fluctuations:

Consider the support of the deterministic equivalent

Supp
$$\mu_{(\delta_1, \frac{n}{N})} = [\mathfrak{a}_N, \mathfrak{b}_N], \qquad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{a}_N = (1 - \sqrt{\frac{n}{N}})^2 \\ \mathfrak{b}_N = (1 + \sqrt{\frac{n}{N}})^2 \end{cases}$$

Then, for some bounded sequence (σ_N) [varying from line to line], • (Johansson,00),

$$N^{2/3}\sigma_N(\mathbf{x}_{\max} - \mathfrak{b}_N) \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{L}}$$
 Tracy-Widom

• (Borodin-Forrester,03),

If
$$\gamma \neq 1$$
, $N^{2/3}\sigma_N(\mathfrak{a}_N - \mathbf{x}_{\min}) \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{L}}$ Tracy-Widom

Assume now $n = N + \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed.

Thus
$$\frac{n}{N} \to \gamma = 1$$
, $x_{\min} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathfrak{a} = 0$ (hard edge)

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

э

Assume now $n = N + \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed.

Thus
$$\frac{n}{N} \to \gamma = 1$$
, $x_{\min} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathfrak{a} = 0$ (hard edge)

• (Forrester,93),

$$N^2 \sigma_N \times_{\min} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{L}} \text{Bessel}(\alpha)$$

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Finite rank perturbation (Baik-Ben Arous-Péché,05):

$$\Sigma_N = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1+\varepsilon, \ldots, 1+\varepsilon}_{k}, 1, \ldots, 1), \quad k \text{ fixed.}$$

(*) *) *) *)

Finite rank perturbation (Baik-Ben Arous-Péché,05):

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{N} = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1 + \varepsilon, \dots, 1 + \varepsilon}_{k}, 1, \dots, 1), \quad k \text{ fixed.}$$

Fact: $\nu_N \rightarrow \delta_1 \implies$ same global behavior.

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と … ヨ

Finite rank perturbation (Baik-Ben Arous-Péché,05):

$$\Sigma_N = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1+\varepsilon, \ldots, 1+\varepsilon}_{k}, 1, \ldots, 1), \quad k \text{ fixed.}$$

Fact: $\nu_N \rightarrow \delta_1 \implies$ same global behavior.

But,

• If $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_c$,

$$x_{\max} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathfrak{b},$$

Tracy-Widom fluctuations

3

Finite rank perturbation (Baik-Ben Arous-Péché,05):

$$\Sigma_N = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1+\varepsilon, \ldots, 1+\varepsilon}_{k}, 1, \ldots, 1), \quad k \text{ fixed.}$$

Fact: $\nu_N \rightarrow \delta_1 \implies$ same global behavior.

But,

• If $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_c$,

 $x_{\max} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathfrak{b},$ Tracy-Widom fluctuations

•
$$\underbrace{ \text{If } \varepsilon = \varepsilon_c}_{x_{\max}}, \qquad x_{\max} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathfrak{b}, \qquad k\text{-deformed Tracy-Widom}$$

高 とう きょう く ほ とう ほう

Finite rank perturbation (Baik-Ben Arous-Péché,05):

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_N = \operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1+\varepsilon, \ldots, 1+\varepsilon}_{k}, 1, \ldots, 1), \quad k \text{ fixed.}$$

Fact: $\nu_N \rightarrow \delta_1 \implies$ same global behavior.

But,

• If $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_c$,

 $x_{\max} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathfrak{b},$ Tracy-Widom fluctuations

•
$$\underline{\text{If } \varepsilon = \varepsilon_c}$$
,
 $x_{\max} \xrightarrow{a.s.}{N \to \infty} \mathfrak{b}$, *k*-deformed Tracy-Widom
• If $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_c$,

$$x_{\max} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s.} \mathfrak{b}_{jump} > \mathfrak{b}, \qquad \mathsf{GUE}(\mathsf{k}) \text{ behavior}$$

æ

Conclusion: Local behaviors are sensitive to the convergence

$$\nu_{N} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\lambda_{j}} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{*} \nu$$

• • = • • = •

General Σ_N , with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_n$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

General Σ_N , with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_n$

Assume

$$u_N = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_j} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{*} \nu \quad \text{with compact support}$$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

э

General Σ_N , with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_n$

Assume

$$u_N = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_j} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{*} \nu \quad \text{with compact support}$$

and

$$0 < \liminf_{N \to \infty} \lambda_1, \qquad \limsup_{N \to \infty} \lambda_n < +\infty$$

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

э

General Σ_N , with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_n$

Assume

$$u_N = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_j} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{*} \nu \quad \text{with compact support}$$

and

$$0 < \liminf_{N \to \infty} \lambda_1, \qquad \limsup_{N \to \infty} \lambda_n < +\infty$$

 Fact: The limiting support Supp μ_(ν,γ) is compact, but not necessarily connected.

ヨッ イヨッ イヨッ

General Σ_N , with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \lambda_n$

Assume

$$u_N = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{\lambda_j} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{*} \nu \quad \text{with compact support}$$

and

$$0 < \liminf_{N \to \infty} \lambda_1, \qquad \limsup_{N \to \infty} \lambda_n < +\infty$$

- Fact: The limiting support Supp μ_(ν,γ) is compact, but not necessarily connected.
- For an edge b of $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$, we introduce a regularity condition.

Theorem (Right edges)

Consider a regular right edge b. Then,

Theorem (Right edges)

Consider a regular right edge b. Then,

• (Existence of the extremal eigenvalue)

There exists a deterministic sequence $(\Phi(N))$ such that

$$x_{\Phi(N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s} \mathfrak{b}, \qquad \liminf_{N \to \infty} x_{\Phi(N)+1} > \mathfrak{b} \quad a.s.$$

Theorem (Right edges)

Consider a regular right edge b. Then,

• (Existence of the extremal eigenvalue)

There exists a deterministic sequence $(\Phi(N))$ such that

$$x_{\Phi(N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s} \mathfrak{b}, \qquad \liminf_{N \to \infty} x_{\Phi(N)+1} > \mathfrak{b} \quad a.s.$$

• (Tracy-Widom fluctuations)

There exists a right edge \mathfrak{b}_N of $\mu_{(\nu_N, \frac{n}{N})}$ such that $\mathfrak{b}_N \to \mathfrak{b}$ and

$$N^{2/3}\sigma_N(x_{\Phi(N)} - \mathfrak{b}_N) \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{L}}$$
 Tracy-Widom,

for some explicit bounded sequence (σ_N) .

When b is the rightmost edge and there is no outliers, the Tracy-Widom fluctuations have already been obtained (El Karoui,07) when $\gamma \leq 1$, and then extended to general $\gamma \in (0, \infty)$ (Onatski,08)

Theorem (Left soft edges)

Consider a positive regular left edge a. Then,

• = • • = •

< 11 →
Theorem (Left soft edges)

Consider a positive regular left edge a. Then,

• (Existence of the extremal eigenvalue)

There exists a deterministic sequence $(\Phi(N))$ such that

$$x_{\Phi(N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s} \mathfrak{a}, \qquad \liminf_{N \to \infty} x_{\Phi(N)-1} < \mathfrak{a} \quad a.s.$$

Theorem (Left soft edges)

Consider a positive regular left edge a. Then,

• (Existence of the extremal eigenvalue)

There exists a deterministic sequence $(\Phi(N))$ such that

$$x_{\Phi(N)} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{a.s} \mathfrak{a}, \qquad \liminf_{N \to \infty} x_{\Phi(N)-1} < \mathfrak{a} \quad a.s.$$

• (Tracy-Widom fluctuations)

There exists a left edge \mathfrak{a}_N of $\mu_{(\nu_N, \frac{n}{M})}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}_N \to \mathfrak{a}$ and

$$N^{2/3}\sigma_N(\mathfrak{a}_N - x_{\Phi(N)}) \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{L}}$$
 Tracy-Widom,

for some explicit bounded sequence (σ_N) .

Theorem (Asymptotic independence)

Given two finite families of positive regular left edges $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ and regular right edges $(b_j)_{j \in J}$, the associated fluctuations are asymptotically independent.

ゆ ト イヨ ト イヨト

Theorem (Asymptotic independence)

Given two finite families of positive regular left edges $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ and regular right edges $(b_j)_{j \in J}$, the associated fluctuations are asymptotically independent.

Theorem (Hard edge)

Assume $n = N + \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ fixed. Then

$$N^2 \sigma_N x_{\min} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{\mathcal{L}} Bessel(\alpha),$$

for some explicit bounded sequence (σ_N) .

Corollary (Study of the Condition number)

We obtain convergence and fluctuations for

$$\kappa_N = \frac{x_{\max}}{x_{\min}}$$

in different regimes.

Beyond the Gaussian case ?

→ 3 → 4 3

Beyond the Gaussian case ?

Recall

$$\mathbf{M}_N = rac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}_N \mathbf{\Sigma}_N \mathbf{X}_N^*$$

where

 X_N is an $N \times n$ matrix with independent $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$ entries Σ_N is an $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix

Beyond the Gaussian case ?

Recall

$$\mathbf{M}_N = rac{1}{N} \mathbf{X}_N \mathbf{\Sigma}_N \mathbf{X}_N^*$$

where

 X_N is an $N \times n$ matrix with independent $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$ entries Σ_N is an $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix

Local law (Knowles-Yin,14): One can drop the Gaussian assumption and still have independent Tracy-Widom fluctuations.

2) More precisions

æ

□ ► < E ► < E</p>

Characterization of $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ (Silverstein-Choi,95):

御 と く き と く き とう

э

Characterization of $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ (Silverstein-Choi,95):

The Cauchy transform

$$m(z) = \int \frac{\mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}(\mathrm{d}x)}{z-x}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

э

Characterization of $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ (Silverstein-Choi,95):

The Cauchy transform

$$m(z) = \int rac{\mu_{(
u,\gamma)}(\mathrm{d}x)}{z-x}, \qquad z\in\mathbb{H},$$

has an inverse given by

$$g(m) = \frac{1}{m} + \gamma \int \frac{x}{1 - mx} \nu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

(*) *) *) *)

Characterization of $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ (Silverstein-Choi,95):

The Cauchy transform

$$m(z) = \int rac{\mu_{(
u, \gamma)}(\mathrm{d}x)}{z-x}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{H},$$

has an inverse given by

$$g(m) = \frac{1}{m} + \gamma \int \frac{x}{1 - mx} \nu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

and which analytically extends to

$$\mathcal{D}om = \left\{ m \in \mathbb{R} : \quad m
eq 0, \quad rac{1}{m}
otin \operatorname{Supp}(
u)
ight\}$$

(and takes real values there).

Characterization of $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ (Silverstein-Choi,95):

Consider every (maximal) intervals $I \subset \mathcal{D}om$ where g decreases, and delete the g(I)'s from \mathbb{R} , what is left is $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ (but zero).

Characterization of Supp $\mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ (Silverstein-Choi,95):

Consider every (maximal) intervals $I \subset \mathcal{D}om$ where g decreases, and delete the g(I)'s from \mathbb{R} , what is left is $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$ (but zero).



Thus, if b is an edge of $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$, there exists \mathfrak{d} such that

 $\mathfrak{b} = g(\mathfrak{d}),$

高 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

э

Thus, if b is an edge of $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$, there exists \mathfrak{d} such that

 $\mathfrak{b} = g(\mathfrak{d}),$

where either

- $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ om is a local extremum for g
- or $\mathfrak{d} \in \partial(\mathcal{D}om)$

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

Thus, if b is an edge of $\operatorname{Supp} \mu_{(\nu,\gamma)}$, there exists \mathfrak{d} such that

 $\mathfrak{b} = g(\mathfrak{d}),$

where either

- $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{D}$ om is a local extremum for g
- or $\mathfrak{d} \in \partial(\mathcal{D}om)$

Definition

We say \mathfrak{b} is regular if

$$\liminf_{N\to\infty} \min_{j=1}^n \left|\mathfrak{d}-\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right|>0.$$

Remark: If $\mathfrak{b} = g(\mathfrak{d})$ is **regular**, then necessarily $\mathfrak{d} \notin \partial(\mathcal{D}om)$.

3 3 4

If \mathfrak{b} is a **regular** edge, then

< ∃ >

If $\mathfrak b$ is a regular edge, then

- Exact separation (Bai-Silverstein, 98, 99)
 - \implies Existence for the associated extremal eigenvalue

If $\mathfrak b$ is a regular edge, then

• Exact separation (Bai-Silverstein, 98, 99)

 \implies Existence for the associated extremal eigenvalue

• Complex analysis (Montel, Rouché,...)

 \implies Existence of an edge \mathfrak{b}_N of $\mu_{(\nu_N, \frac{n}{N})}$ such that $\mathfrak{b}_N \to \mathfrak{b}$

▶ < 문 ▶ < 문 ▶</p>

æ

Determinantal structure (Johansson/BBP,05)
 ⇒ Repartition function ≃ Fredholm determinant, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[N^{2/3}\sigma_N\big(x_{\Phi(N)} - \mathfrak{b}_N\big) \leqslant s\Big] = \det\big(I - \mathrm{K}_N\big)_{L^2(s,\varepsilon N^{2/3})} + o(1)$$

A B > A B >

Determinantal structure (Johansson/BBP,05)
 ⇒ Repartition function ≃ Fredholm determinant, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[N^{2/3}\sigma_N\big(x_{\Phi(N)} - \mathfrak{b}_N\big) \leqslant s\Big] = \det\big(I - \mathrm{K}_N\big)_{L^2(s,\varepsilon N^{2/3})} + o(1)$$

 \Longrightarrow Enough to prove the convergence

$$\mathrm{K}_{\textit{N}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_{\textit{A}i}$$
 as $\textit{N} \rightarrow \infty$

(in an appropriate sense)

● Determinantal structure (Johansson/BBP,05)
 ⇒ Repartition function ~ Fredholm determinant, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[N^{2/3}\sigma_N\big(x_{\Phi(N)} - \mathfrak{b}_N\big) \leqslant s\Big] = \det\big(I - \mathrm{K}_N\big)_{L^2(s,\varepsilon N^{2/3})} + o(1)$$

 \Longrightarrow Enough to prove the convergence

$$\mathrm{K}_{N} \longrightarrow \mathrm{K}_{Ai}$$
 as $N \to \infty$

(in an appropriate sense)

• Complex integral representation for K_N (idem):

$$\mathrm{K}_{N}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2i\pi)^{2}} \oint_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d}z \oint_{\Theta} \mathrm{d}w \ \mathrm{F}_{N}(x,y;z,w),$$

where F_N is explicit.

Asymptotic analysis as $N \to \infty$ for

$$\mathrm{K}_{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{(2i\pi)^{2}} \oint_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z} \oint_{\Theta} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{w} \ \mathrm{F}_{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};\mathbf{z},\mathbf{w})$$

- Local analysis around ∂ ⇒ Airy kernel
 Saddle point of order two, almost routine computation
- The remaining of the integral is negligible
 Clever analytic deformation of Γ and Θ, this is the HARD part

Asymptotic analysis as $N \to \infty$ for

$$\mathrm{K}_{N}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2i\pi)^{2}} \oint_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d}z \oint_{\Theta} \mathrm{d}w \ \mathrm{F}_{N}(x, y; z, w)$$



→ < Ξ → <</p>

Existence of the steepest descent contours ?

∃ >

Existence of the steepest descent contours ?

Non-constructive proof using the

maximum principle for subharmonic functions

"Right edge" analytic landscape:



< ∃ >

"Left edge (generic)" analytic landscape:



"Left edge (singular)" analytic landscape:



.⊒ . ►

(Bornemann,10) Asymptotic independence ⇐⇒
 Some matrix valued operator becomes asymptotically diagonal in the trace-class norm.

- (Bornemann,10) Asymptotic independence ⇐⇒
 Some matrix valued operator becomes asymptotically diagonal in the trace-class norm.
- Use regularized Fredholm determinant ⇒
 "Trace-class norm" → "Hilbert-Schmidt norm"

- (Bornemann,10) Asymptotic independence ⇐⇒
 Some matrix valued operator becomes asymptotically diagonal in the trace-class norm.
- Use regularized Fredholm determinant ⇒
 "Trace-class norm" → "Hilbert-Schmidt norm"
- Use the steepest descent paths from the TW analysis.
The prove the asymptotic independence:

- (Bornemann,10) Asymptotic independence <>> Some matrix valued operator becomes asymptotically diagonal in the trace-class norm.
- Use regularized Fredholm determinant ⇒
 "Trace-class norm" → "Hilbert-Schmidt norm"
- Use the steepest descent paths from the TW analysis.
- Use Bleher-Kuijlaars representation for $K_N(x, y)$

→ 3 → < 3</p>

• Use the determinantal structure

E ▶

- Use the determinantal structure
- Key: The critical point ϑ is at infinity !

- Use the determinantal structure
- Key: The critical point ϑ is at infinity !
- Appropriate complex integral representation for the Bessel kernel

- Use the determinantal structure
- Key: The critical point ϑ is at infinity !
- Appropriate complex integral representation for the Bessel kernel
- Asymptotic analysis (now easy)

3) Beyond Universality

æ

□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

伺 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

э

• (Easy case) If k of the $1/\lambda_j$'s equal ϑ , but the rest satisfies the regularity condition, then the fluctuations are described by the k-deformed Tracy-Widom distribution of BBP.

- (Easy case) If k of the $1/\lambda_j$'s equal ϑ , but the rest satisfies the regularity condition, then the fluctuations are described by the k-deformed Tracy-Widom distribution of BBP.
- (Mysterious case) What if $\mathfrak{d} \in \partial(\mathcal{D}om)$?

- (Easy case) If k of the $1/\lambda_j$'s equal ϑ , but the rest satisfies the regularity condition, then the fluctuations are described by the k-deformed Tracy-Widom distribution of BBP.
- (Mysterious case) What if $\mathfrak{d} \in \partial(\mathcal{D}om)$?

Conjecture: Universality breaks down

i.e. strongly depends on ν and the way $\nu_N \rightarrow \nu$.

- (Easy case) If k of the $1/\lambda_j$'s equal ϑ , but the rest satisfies the regularity condition, then the fluctuations are described by the k-deformed Tracy-Widom distribution of BBP.
- (Mysterious case) What if $\mathfrak{d} \in \partial(\mathcal{D}om)$?

Conjecture: Universality breaks down

i.e. strongly depends on ν and the way $\nu_N \rightarrow \nu$.

Similar situations:

- Additive perturbations of Wigner matrices (Capitaine-Péché,14)
- Random paterns on the Gelfand-Tsetlin cone (Duse-Metcalfe,14)

Thank you for your attention !